

HOROCYCLE FLOW ORBITS AND LATTICE SURFACE CHARACTERIZATIONS

JON CHAIKA AND KATHRYN LINDSEY

ABSTRACT. The orbit closure of any translation surface under the horocycle flow in almost any direction equals its $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ orbit closure. This result gives rise to new characterizations of lattice surfaces in terms of the horocycle flow.

1. INTRODUCTION

A translation surface is a closed, 2-real-dimensional manifold M together with a subset $\Sigma \subset M$ consisting of finitely many points such that the restriction to $M \setminus \Sigma$ of each transition map of the manifold is a translation. The group $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ acts on the collection of translation surfaces by affinely deforming the charts of a manifold; the horocycle flow is the action of the one parameter subgroup H consisting of matrices of the form $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. A lattice surface is a translation surface whose stabilizer in $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ is a lattice (i.e. has finite co-volume in $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$). We denote the image under $A \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ of a subset X of translation surfaces in a given stratum by $A \cdot X$, the closure in the stratum of this set by $\overline{A \cdot X}$, and the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta) & -\sin(\theta) \\ \sin(\theta) & \cos(\theta) \end{pmatrix}$ by r_θ . The main results of this paper are Theorems 1 and 2:

Theorem 1. *For any translation surface M*

$$\overline{Hr_\theta \cdot M} = \overline{SL_2(\mathbb{R}) \cdot M}$$

for (Lebesgue) almost every angle $\theta \in S^1$.

Theorem 2. *The following are equivalent:*

- (i) *M is a lattice surface.*
- (ii) *For every angle $\theta \in S^1$, every H -minimal subset of $\overline{Hr_\theta \cdot M}$ is a periodic H -orbit.*
- (iii) *There exists a Lebesgue measurable set $Z \subset S^1$ of positive Lebesgue measure such that $\theta \in Z$ implies every H -minimal subset of $\overline{Hr_\theta \cdot M}$ is a periodic H -orbit.*
- (iv) *Every H -minimal subset of $\overline{GL_2(\mathbb{R}) \cdot M}$ (or of $\overline{SL_2(\mathbb{R}) \cdot M}$) is a periodic H -orbit.*

Since $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ orbit closures are affine invariant submanifolds ([EMM]), Theorem 1 implies that horocycle orbit closures in almost every direction also have this “nice” structure. In particular, these horocycle orbit closures are immersed submanifolds defined by linear equations in period coordinates with real coefficients, and have an associated $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ invariant probability measure that is ergodic with respect to $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ and (via the Mauter phenomenon, described in Section §2.2), ergodic with respect to H . However, the conclusion $\overline{Hr_\theta \cdot M} = \overline{SL_2(\mathbb{R}) \cdot M}$ may not hold on a

Date: August 13, 2015.

measure zero set of angles. To see this, H preserves horizontal saddle connections, and so for any angle θ in which there is a saddle connection on M , we have $Mr_{-\theta} \cdot M$ is not dense in $SL_2(\mathbb{R}) \cdot M$.

Smillie and Weiss found examples of horocycle orbit closures which: are manifolds with non-empty boundaries; have infinitely generated fundamental group; and at almost every point are described by non-linear equations [SW14], [SW]. The examples found by Smillie and Weiss, thus, belong to the measure zero set of angles not governed by the conclusion of Theorem 1. The question of finding a characterization of the angles θ for which $\overline{Mr_{\theta} \cdot M} = \overline{SL_2(\mathbb{R}) \cdot M}$ is open. Hooper and Weiss have found a sufficient condition: if M is a periodic point for $\begin{pmatrix} e^t & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-t} \end{pmatrix}$, then $\overline{H \cdot M} = \overline{SL_2(\mathbb{R}) \cdot M}$ [HW15].

A novel aspect of Theorem 2 is that each of conditions (ii)-(iv) is *sufficient* for the surface to be a lattice surface (i); that each is necessary was either previously known or could be easily deduced from extant conditions. Our proof uses Theorem 1 to show that (ii) (or (iii)) implies (iv). In fact, the full strength of Theorem 1 is not required; we only use that there exists a positive measure set of angles θ for which the horocycle orbit closure equals the $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ orbit closure. While various characterizations of lattice surfaces are known, including a characterization in terms of the geodesic flow, Theorem 2 is, to the authors' knowledge, the first characterization of lattice surfaces in terms of the horocycle flow. We reproduce below a list from [SW10] of previously known characterizations of lattice surfaces (the reader may consult [SW10] for definitions and notation used in the statement of the theorem):

Theorem 3 ([SW10]). *The following are equivalent:*

- M is a lattice surface.
- M is uniformly completely periodic.
- M is uniformly completely parabolic.
- M has “no small triangles”
- (M, Σ) has “no small virtual triangles.”
- $|\mathcal{T}(M)| < \infty$.
- The $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ -orbit of M is closed.
- There is a compact subset K of the stratum $\mathcal{H}$ containing M such that for any $\alpha \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$, the orbit of $\alpha \cdot M$ under the geodesic flow has nonempty intersection with K .

A recent preprint by Lanneau, Nguyen and Wright ([LNW]) proves another characterization of lattice surfaces. Namely, M is a lattice surface if and only if M is completely parabolic and $SL_2(\mathbb{R}) \cdot M$ has rank 1.

Acknowledgements. The authors thank Barak Weiss for conjecturing the equivalences proven in Theorem 2, and for sharing his insight and guidance. We thank Alex Wright for helpful suggestions regarding an earlier draft. This project began at “Dynamics on parameter spaces 2013” in Sde-Boker. Jon Chaika received support from NSF grant 1300550. Kathryn Lindsey received support from a NSF Mathematical Sciences Postdoctoral Research Fellowship.

2. BACKGROUND

2.1. Translation surfaces, strata, period coordinates. A *translation surface* is a 2 real-dimensional manifold M together with a subset $\Sigma \subset M$ such that the restriction to $M \setminus \Sigma$ of each transition map between charts of M is a translation. We will denote such a translation surface by the pair (M, Σ) or, in cases where the set Σ is clear from the context, simply by M . We will consider only translation surfaces that are of *finite type* – meaning that M is a closed, connected surface of finite genus and Σ is a finite set of distinct points of M – and the reader should interpret any reference to a translation surface as meaning a translation surface of finite type.

The condition that all manifold transition maps of a translation surface be translations implies that the Euclidean metric on the restriction to $M \setminus \Sigma$ of each manifold chart is invariant under transition maps, resulting in a well-defined metric on $M \setminus \Sigma$. Identifying the metric completion of this metric on $M \setminus \Sigma$ yields the *canonical Euclidean metric* on M . Since translations on $\mathbb{R}^2$ also preserve “direction” (e.g. the “positive vertical direction”), “directions” are also well-defined on $M \setminus \Sigma$. Consequently, for any direction $\theta \in S^1 = \mathbb{R} \bmod 2\pi$, any translation surface has a well-defined foliation $\mathcal{F}_\theta$ of M in direction θ , defined by pulling back the straight-line foliation in direction θ on the manifold charts. One way of reformulating these two observations is to state that the tangent space $T(M \setminus \Sigma)$ has a canonical global trivialization, i.e. $T(M \setminus \Sigma)$ can be identified in a canonical way with $(M \setminus \Sigma) \times \mathbb{R}^2$. Consequently, a translation surface has trivial linear holonomy, i.e. the group of linear maps on the tangent space $T_p(M \setminus \Sigma)$ induced by parallel transport of an element of $T_p(M \setminus \Sigma)$ along closed loops based at p in $M \setminus \Sigma$ is trivial. The *translation flow* in direction θ on a translation surface is the unit speed (with respect to the canonical Euclidean metric) flow along leaves of the foliation $\mathcal{F}_\theta$.

Points in Σ are called *cone points*. The *cone angle* of a cone point is the total Euclidean angle in S around that point. The cone angle of a cone point in a translation surface is of the form $2\pi(1+n)$ for some nonnegative integer n , which is said the *order* of that cone point. A *saddle connection* is a finite-length leaf of the foliation in some direction which has a cone point both ends. The Gauss-Bonnet Theorem implies that the sum of the orders of all cone points of a translation surface is $2g - 2$, where g is the genus of the underlying topological surface.

An orientation-preserving homeomorphism $\psi : (M_1, \Sigma_1) \rightarrow (M_2, \Sigma_2)$ such that $\psi(\Sigma_1) = \Sigma_2$ and such that the restriction $\psi|_{M_1 \setminus \Sigma_1}$ is affine in each chart is called an *affine isomorphism*. We denote by $D(\psi)$ the linear part (in $GL(2, \mathbb{R})$) of an affine isomorphism ψ . An affine isomorphism whose linear part is the identity is called a *translation equivalence*. We consider two translation surfaces to be equivalent if there exists a translation equivalence between them.

A *marked translation surface of finite type* is a triple $((Z, \Sigma'), f, (M, \Sigma))$ consisting of a closed, connected topological surface Z of finite genus with a set Σ' of distinct points of Z , a translation surface (M, Σ) of finite type, and a homeomorphism $f : Z \rightarrow M$ with $f(\Sigma') = \Sigma$. The collection of all marked translation surfaces admits a natural stratification based on the number and orders of the cone points of each surface. As a set, the stratum $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(k_1, \dots, k_n)$ of the space of marked translation surfaces consists of the set of marked translation surfaces $((Z_g, \Sigma'_n), f, (M, \Sigma))$ whose cone points have orders $k_1, \dots, k_n$.

Given a path γ on a marked translation surface, define the *period coordinate* of γ to be the element of $\mathbb{C}$ given by $\int_{\gamma} dx + i \int_{\gamma} dy$. Period coordinates determine a map from a stratum $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(k_1, \dots, k_n)$ of marked translation surfaces to $H^1(Z, \Sigma'_n; \mathbb{C})$ as follows. We may think of an element of $H^1(Z, \Sigma'_n; \mathbb{C})$ as assigning an element of $\mathbb{C}$ to each homotopy (rel Σ'_n) class of paths in Z . Given a marked translation surface $((Z_g, \Sigma'_n), f, (M, \Sigma))$, define the corresponding element of $H^1(Z_g, \Sigma'_n; \mathbb{C})$ to be the element that assigns to each relative homotopy class $[\gamma]$ of paths in Z_g the period coordinate of the path $f \circ \gamma$ in M . Thus, period coordinates define a map

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(k_1, \dots, k_n) \rightarrow H^1(Z_g, \Sigma'_n; \mathbb{R}^2) \simeq \mathbb{C}^{2g+n-1}.$$

This map defines a topology and local coordinates on $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(k_1, \dots, k_n)$, which with this topology, we refer to as a stratum of the *space of marked translation surfaces*.

The *mapping class group* $Mod(Z, \Sigma')$ of a closed topological surface Z with a finite set Σ' of (distinct) marked points in Z is the group of isotopy classes of homeomorphisms of (Z, Σ') (homeomorphisms of Z that preserve Σ' as a set). The group $Mod(Z, \Sigma')$ acts properly discontinuously on each stratum of the space of marked surfaces $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(Z, \Sigma')$ by precomposition with the marking map. The *stratum* $\mathcal{H}(k_1, \dots, k_n)$ of the moduli space of translation surfaces is the quotient space $\mathcal{H}(k_1, \dots, k_n) := \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(k_1, \dots, k_n) / Mod(Z_g, \Sigma'_n)$.

These strata can be disconnected, with up to three connected components, which were classified by Kontsevich-Zorich ([KZ03]). Pulling back the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{C}^{2g+n-1}$ via period coordinates yields a local volume form. It assigns infinite measure to a stratum of the space of translation surfaces. It is standard to restrict to unit area translation surfaces, a codimension 1 subset of this space. A modified version of the disintegration of the previous measure onto this subspace gives it finite volume ([Mas82, Vee86]). This measure is called *Masur-Veech measure*. We will use $\mathcal{H}_1(k_1, \dots, k_n)$ to denote the stratum of unit area surfaces, in contrast to the full stratum (of surfaces of any area) $\mathcal{H}(k_1, \dots, k_n)$.

2.2. Dynamical preliminaries. The group $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ acts on a stratum $\mathcal{H}_1$ as follows. Given $A \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ and a translation surface (M, Σ) , the flat surface given by $A \cdot (M, \Sigma)$ is given by post-composing the charts of M with A . $GL_2(\mathbb{R})$ acts similarly on $\mathcal{H}$, but does not preserve the area of the translation surfaces. The actions of two subgroups of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ are of particular interest. The *Teichmüller flow* or *geodesic flow* is the action of the one-parameter subgroup consisting of all matrices of the form

$$g_t := \begin{pmatrix} e^{t/2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-t/2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The *horocycle flow* is the action of the one-parameter subgroup consisting of all matrices of the form

$$h_t := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

A translation surface (M, Σ) is said to be

- *periodic* in direction θ if (M, Σ) admits a cylinder decomposition in direction θ ,
- *completely periodic* if (M, Σ) is periodic in every direction in which (S, Σ) has at least one cylinder,

- *uniformly completely periodic* if (M, Σ) is completely periodic and there exists $c > 0$ such that for any direction θ for which (M, Σ) is periodic, the ratio of lengths of any two saddle connections in direction θ is at most c .
- *parabolic* in direction θ if (M, Σ) is periodic in direction θ and the moduli of all the cylinders in direction θ are commensurable.
- *completely parabolic* if M is completely periodic and parabolic in every periodic direction.
- *uniformly completely parabolic* if (M, Σ) is uniformly completely periodic and (M, Σ) is parabolic in every periodic direction.

Let (M, Σ) be a translation surface of finite type. The *Veech group* of (M, Σ) is the stabilizer in S of (M, Σ) . A translation surface (S, Σ) is a *lattice surface* if its Veech group is a lattice, i.e. has finite co-volume in $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$.

Theorem (The Veech Dichotomy). If (M, Σ) is a lattice surface, then for every direction $\theta \in S^1$, precisely one of the following is true:

- (1) (M, Σ) admits a cylinder decomposition in direction θ , or
- (2) the translation flow in direction θ on (M, Σ) is uniquely ergodic.

Theorem (The Mautner phenomenon for $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$). Let $\mathfrak{H}$ be a Hilbert space and let $\phi : SL_2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{H})$ be a continuous unitary representation on $\mathfrak{H}$. Then any element $v \in \mathfrak{H}$ that is invariant under H is also invariant under $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$.

(The statement “ $\phi : S \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{H})$ is a continuous unitary representation on $\mathfrak{H}$ ” means ϕ is a homomorphism into the group of unitary automorphisms $\mathbb{U}(\mathfrak{H})$ of $\mathfrak{H}$ such that for every $v \in \mathfrak{H}$, the element $\phi(g)(v) \in \mathfrak{H}$ depends continuously on $g \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$.)

The action of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ on a stratum $\mathcal{H}_1$ with an $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ -invariant probability measure μ_1 determines a continuous unitary representation on $\mathfrak{H} = L^2(\mathcal{H}_1, \mu_1)$ defined by

$$\alpha \in SL_2(\mathbb{R}) \mapsto (f \in \mathfrak{H} \mapsto f \circ \alpha \in \mathfrak{H}) \in U(\mathfrak{H}).$$

For flows, ergodicity can be characterized as the condition that “the only invariant elements of $L^2(\mathcal{H}_1, \mu_1)$ are constant functions.” Consequently, an $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ -invariant measure on $\mathcal{H}_1$ is ergodic with respect to the action of H if and only if it is ergodic for the $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ action.

2.3. Decompositions of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$.

$$K = \left\{ r_\theta = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} : \theta \in S^1 \right\}, \quad A = \left\{ g_t = \begin{pmatrix} e^t & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-t} \end{pmatrix} : t \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

$$N = \left\{ h_s = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & s \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : s \in \mathbb{R} \right\}, \quad \overline{N} = \left\{ \hat{h}_s = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ s & 1 \end{pmatrix} : s \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

There are at least three well-known decompositions of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$:

Iwasawa decomposition. Every element $g \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ can be written as kan for some $k \in K$, $a \in A$ and $n \in N$, and this representation is unique if we require $t \geq 0$ in $a = g_t$.

Cartan decomposition. Every element $g \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ can be written as kak' , for some $k, k' \in K$ and $a \in A$.

Bruhat decomposition. $SL_2(\mathbb{R}) = \overline{N}AN \cup \iota AN$, where $\iota = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, with explicit formulas

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ c/a & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1/a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b/a \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{if } a \neq 0$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c & 0 \\ 0 & 1/c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & d/c \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{if } a = 0.$$

Lemma 1. *In the Cartan decomposition $h_s = kak'$, the element “a” leaves every compact set as $s \rightarrow \pm\infty$.*

Lemma 1 is an immediate consequence of the compactness of K .

Lemma 2. *The $\bar{N}AN$ decomposition of r_θ is $\hat{h}_{-\tan(\theta)} g_{\log(\cos(\theta))} h_{\tan(\theta)}$.*

2.4. Key recent results.

2.4.1. *Eskin-Mirzakhani-Mohammadi.* Our results build off of several breakthrough results of Eskin, Mirzakhani and Mohammadi.

Theorem 4. *(Theorem 2.1 in [EMM]) For any flat surface $M \in \mathcal{H}_1$, $\overline{SL_2(\mathbb{R}) \cdot M}$ is an affine invariant submanifold of $\mathcal{H}_1$*

Here $\mathcal{H}_1$ is the stratum of unit area surfaces.

An affine invariant submanifold $\mathcal{M}_1 \subset \mathcal{H}_1$ is the support of an ergodic $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ -invariant measure ν_1 such that

- (1) $\mathcal{M}_1$ is an immersed submanifold (i.e. $\mathcal{M}_1$ is the image of a manifold $\mathcal{N}$ under a proper continuous map f) and the set of self-intersection points of $\mathcal{M}_1$ is closed and has ν_1 -measure 0,
- (2) each point of $\mathcal{N}$ has a neighborhood U such that $\mathbb{R}f(U)$ is given by a complex linear subspace defined over $\mathbb{R}$ in period coordinates, and
- (3) each point of $\mathcal{N}$ has a neighborhood U such that, if ν is the measure supported on $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{R}\mathcal{M}_1$ so that $d\mu = d\nu_1 da$, the restriction of ν to $\mathbb{R}f(U)$ is an affine linear measure in the period coordinates.

Each $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ invariant manifold is the support of a unique $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ -invariant ergodic probability measure. We will refer to this measure as the measure associated with the affine invariant submanifold. (An affine invariant submanifold may properly contain a smaller affine invariant submanifold which has its own measure and whose support is, of course, a proper subset of our initial affine invariant submanifold.)

Theorem 5. *([EMM, Theorem 2.6]) Let M be a translation surface, $\overline{SL_2(\mathbb{R}) \cdot M} := \mathcal{M}$, and let μ be the affine invariant measure associated to $\mathcal{M}$. Let $\phi \in C_c(\mathcal{M})$. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$ and any interval $I \subset [0, 2\pi)$ there exists T_0 such that $T > T_0$ implies*

$$\left| \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \phi(g_t r_\theta M) d\theta dt - \int_{\mathcal{M}} \phi d\mu \right| < \epsilon.$$

2.4.2. Minimal sets for the horocycle flow. The closure in a stratum $\mathcal{H}_1$ of any $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ -orbit contains an H -orbit closure. Smillie and Weiss ([SW04]) showed that every H -orbit closure contains a *minimal* set for the horocycle flow, and they classified the minimal sets for the horocycle flow. (A *minimal set* for the action of a group A on a space X is a nonempty, closed, A -invariant subset of X that is minimal with respect to inclusion.)

Proposition 1 ([SW04]). *Let M be a half-translation surface that is periodic in the horizontal direction. Let $\mathcal{O} = \overline{H \cdot M}$. Then*

- (1) *M admits a cylinder decomposition $M = C_1 \cup \dots \cup C_r$, where each C_i is a cylinder whose interior is a union of horizontal core curves.*
- (2) *There is an isomorphism between $\mathcal{O}$ and a d -dimensional torus, where d is the dimension of the $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear subspace of $\mathbb{R}$ spanned by the moduli of $C_1, \dots, C_r$. This isomorphism conjugates the H -action on $\mathcal{O}$ with a one-parameter translation flow.*
- (3) *The restriction of the H -action to $\mathcal{O}$ is minimal.*

Theorem 6 ([SW04]). *If M is a half-translation surface such that $\overline{H \cdot M}$ is contained in a compact subset of a single stratum, then the flow along any leaf of the horizontal foliation is periodic. In particular, any minimal set for the horocycle flow is as described in Proposition 1.*

2.4.3. Cylinder Deformations. This characterization of minimal sets for the horocycle flow is a key ingredient in Wright’s proof of the “cylinder deformation theorem” ([Wri]). Given a collection of horizontal cylinders $\mathcal{C}$ of a surface M , let $\eta_{\mathcal{C}} \in T_M(\mathcal{M}) \subset H^1(S, \Sigma; \mathbb{C})$ be the derivative (with respect to t) of $h_t^{\mathcal{C}}$ at M in local period coordinates, where $h_t^{\mathcal{C}}$ is the “cylinder shear” which applies the matrix h_t to the cylinders of $\mathcal{C}$ and leaves the rest of the surface unchanged. Denote by $a_t^{\mathcal{C}}$ the “cylinder stretch” which applies the matrix $a_t = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^t \end{pmatrix} \in GL(2, \mathbb{R})$ to the cylinders of $\mathcal{C}$ and leaves the rest of the surface unchanged.

Definition 1. Let M be a flat surface and $\mathcal{M} = \overline{GL_2(\mathbb{R}) \cdot M}$. Two cylinders of M are

- (1) $\mathcal{M}$ -parallel if they are parallel at M and at every nearby surface $M' \in \mathcal{M}$.
- (2) $\mathcal{M}$ -collinear if their core curves $\alpha, \beta \in H_1(M, \Sigma; \mathbb{Z})$ have collinear images in $T^M(\mathcal{M})$.

Wright observed ([Wri]) that, as a consequence of Theorem 4, $\mathcal{M}$ -parallel and $\mathcal{M}$ -collinear are equivalent notions, i.e. two cylinders are $\mathcal{M}$ -parallel if and only if they are $\mathcal{M}$ -collinear.

Lemma 3 (Lemma 4.11, [Wri]). *For any horizontally periodic surface $M \in \mathcal{M}$ and equivalence class $\mathcal{C}$ of $\mathcal{M}$ -parallel horizontal cylinders, $\eta_{\mathcal{C}} \in T^M(\mathcal{M})$.*

Since Theorem 4 asserts that $\mathcal{M}$ is given (locally) by linear equations in period coordinates with real coefficients, a neighborhood of M in $\mathcal{M}$ is identified with a neighborhood of 0 in $T_M \mathcal{M}$. Thus, the assertion that $\eta_{\mathcal{C}}$ is in $T^M(\mathcal{M})$ implies that sufficiently small deformations of M in the direction (in $T^M(\mathcal{M})$) specified by η remain in the orbit closure $\mathcal{M}$. We denote by p the projection $p : H^1(S, \Sigma; \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^1(S; \mathbb{C})$ from relative cohomology to absolute cohomology.

Theorem 7. ([Wri, Theorem 1.7]) *If $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} p(T(\mathcal{M})) > 2$ then there exist translation surfaces in $\mathcal{M}$ which are not completely periodic.*

Definition 2. The cylinder rank of an affine invariant submanifold $\mathcal{M}$ is $\frac{1}{2}\dim_{\mathbb{C}} p(T(\mathcal{M}))$.

Definition 3. Let $M \in \mathcal{M}$ be horizontally periodic. The *twist space* of $\mathcal{M}$ at M is the subspace $\text{Twist}(M, \mathcal{M})$ of $T^M(\mathcal{M})$ of cohomology classes in $T^M(\mathcal{M})$ which are zero on all horizontal saddle connections.

Definition 4. Let $M \in \mathcal{M}$ be horizontally periodic. The *cylinder preserving space* of $\mathcal{M}$ at M is the subspace of $\text{Pres}(M, \mathcal{M})$ of $T^M(\mathcal{M})$ of cohomology classes which are zero on the core curves of all horizontal cylinders.

3. HOROCYCLE ORBITS

The primary goal of this section is to prove Theorem 1. The outline of the proof is as follows:

- Step 0 Fix an affine invariant submanifold $\mathcal{M}$ with associated ergodic invariant probability measure μ . We will show that the conclusion of Theorem 1 holds for any translation surface M such that $\mathcal{M} = \overline{SL_2(\mathbb{R}) \cdot M}$.
- Step 1 For any $\epsilon > 0$, define the local metric $d_{\mathcal{K}_\epsilon}$ on $\mathcal{K}_\epsilon$, the ϵ -thick part of the stratum. This metric $d_{\mathcal{K}_\epsilon}$ is chosen so that it is “bounded above” by $d_{SL_2\mathbb{R}}$ (Lemma 4).
- Step 2 Define (L, ϵ) -nice. Use the Mautner Phenomenon to show that there exist open sets $U_{L, \epsilon}$ of arbitrarily large μ -measure consisting of (L, ϵ) -nice surfaces.
- Step 3 Apply a result of Eskin-Mirzakhani-Mohammadi to show that for any translation surface M for which $\mathcal{M} = \overline{SL_2(\mathbb{R}) \cdot M}$ and any L, ϵ , there exist arbitrarily large real numbers t so that “all but ϵ percent” of the “circle” (in θ) $g_t r_\theta \cdot M$ is in $U_{L, \epsilon}$.
- Step 4 So any arc that contains ϵ percent of the “circle” $g_t r_\theta \cdot M$ contains a point, say u , of $U_{L, \epsilon}$. But, in fact, for sufficiently big t , such an arc ϵ -approximates the length- L segment of the horocycle flow applied to u . Proposition 4 asserts that, for sufficiently large t , ϵ -arcs of $g_t r_\theta \cdot M$ are 2ϵ -dense in $\mathcal{K}_\epsilon$. (Proposition 5.)
- Step 5 Use the Cartan decomposition of h_s to turn Proposition 5 into an analogous statement about ϵ -arcs (in θ) of $h_s r_\theta \cdot M$ for sufficiently large s . Proposition 6 asserts that there are arbitrarily big values of s such that any ϵ -arc of $h_s r_\theta \cdot M$ is ϵ -dense in $\mathcal{K}_\epsilon$.
- Step 6A A slight detour from proving Theorem 1 – we use the Baire Category Theorem in conjunction with Proposition 6 to prove the weaker result (Theorem 8) that there is a residual set of directions in which the horocycle flow-orbit closure equals the $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ -orbit closure. To do this, we show that for any fixed ϵ , the set $G_\epsilon(M)$ of angles θ for which Hr_θ is ϵ -dense in $\mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{K}_\epsilon$ is an open dense subset of S^1 .
- Step 6B Prove that almost every horocycle is dense. This uses slightly more complicated versions of arguments from Steps 5 and 6A.

3.1. Step 1. For any fixed stratum of unit-area surfaces $\mathcal{H}_1$ and $\epsilon > 0$ we denote by $\mathcal{K}_\epsilon$ the ϵ -thick part of the stratum $\mathcal{H}_1$. The set $\mathcal{K}_\epsilon$ is the subset of $\mathcal{H}_1$ consisting of all translation surfaces in $\mathcal{H}_1$ which have no saddle connection of length less than ϵ . Any such set $\mathcal{K}_\epsilon$ is compact.

Lemma 4. *For any $\epsilon > 0$ (and $\mathcal{H}_1$) there exist a metric $d_{\mathcal{K}_\epsilon}$ on $\mathcal{K}_\epsilon$ and real number $r_0 > 0$ such that $d_{SL_2\mathbb{R}}(A, Id) < r_0$ implies*

$$d_{\mathcal{K}_\epsilon}(A \cdot M, M) < d_{SL_2\mathbb{R}}(A, Id)$$

for all $M \in \mathcal{K}_\epsilon$.

By $d_{SL_2\mathbb{R}}$ we mean a left-invariant metric on $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$.¹ Our proof of Lemma 4 also uses a metric d_{op} on $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$; by $d_{op}(A_1, A_2)$ we mean the operator norm of $(A_1 - A_2)$ acting on $\mathbb{R}^2$.

Proof. Period coordinates yield local metrics modeled on Euclidean space on open “patches” covering the stratum $\mathcal{H}_1$. By the compactness of $\mathcal{K}_\epsilon$, we may restrict our attention to a finite collection of patches U_j that cover $\mathcal{K}_\epsilon$. For each patch U_j , we may pick a list of paths $\gamma_1^j, \dots, \gamma_n^j$ in the underlying topological marked surface and define the “Euclidean metric” $d_{Euc\ U_j}$ on this patch to be the Euclidean distance on $(\mathbb{R}^2)^n$ pulled back to U_j via the identification of M with $(\text{hol}(\gamma_1^j), \dots, \text{hol}_M(\gamma_n^j))$, where $\text{hol}_M(\gamma_i^j) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is the x - and y -components of the path γ_i in the surface M , i.e. $\text{hol}_M(\gamma_i^j) = (\int_{\gamma_i^j} dx, \int_{\gamma_i^j} dy)$ in M .

We first define a metric $D_{\mathcal{K}_\epsilon}$ on $\mathcal{K}_\epsilon$ by using the infimum of the lengths (with respect to any combination of the Euclidean metrics on patches) of all rectifiable paths connecting two points. Since $\mathcal{K}_\epsilon$ is compact there is a minimal $c > 0$ so that for every $M \in \mathcal{K}_\epsilon$ a c -neighborhood of M in $\mathcal{K}_\epsilon$ with respect to the metric $D_{\mathcal{K}_\epsilon}$ is contained within a single patch. For each $M \in \mathcal{K}_\epsilon$, define $U(M) \in \{U_j\}_j$ to be such a patch, and $d_{Euc\ U(M)}$ to be the Euclidean metric on the patch $U(M)$.

Hence, for any $M \in \mathcal{K}_\epsilon$ and any $A \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ such that AM is in the $D_{\mathcal{K}_\epsilon}$ c -ball centered at M ,

$$(1) \quad D_{\mathcal{K}_\epsilon}(M, AM) \leq \min\{d_{Euc\ U(M)}(M, AM), d_{Euc\ U(AM)}(M, AM)\}$$

For such an A , $d_{Euc\ U(M)}(M, AM)$ is precisely the Euclidean distance in $(\mathbb{R}^2)^n$ between

$$(\text{hol}_M(\gamma_1^{U(M)}), \dots, \text{hol}_M(\gamma_n^{U(M)}))$$

and

$$(A \cdot \text{hol}_M(\gamma_1^{U(M)}), \dots, A \cdot \text{hol}_M(\gamma_n^{U(M)})).$$

Thus, $d_{Euc\ U(M)}(M, AM)$ equals the Euclidean norm of the vector

$$((A - Id) \cdot \text{hol}_M(\gamma_1^{U(M)}), \dots, (A - Id) \cdot \text{hol}_M(\gamma_n^{U(M)})),$$

which is less than or equal to the product

$$d_{op}(A, Id) \cdot |\text{hol}_M(\gamma_1^{U(M)}), \dots, \text{hol}_M(\gamma_n^{U(M)})|$$

Similarly, $d_{Euc\ U(M)}(M, AM)$ is less than or equal to

$$d_{op}(A, Id) \cdot |\text{hol}_M(\gamma_1^{U(AM)}), \dots, \text{hol}_M(\gamma_n^{U(AM)})|$$

¹See Section 9.3.2 of [EW11] for the construction of a left-invariant metric.

Hence, from inequality (1), we have

$$(2) \quad D_{\mathcal{K}_\epsilon}(M, AM) \leq d_{op}(A, Id) \cdot \min\left\{\left|\text{hol}_M(\gamma_1^{U(M)}), \dots, \text{hol}_M(\gamma_n^{U(M)})\right|, \left|\text{hol}_M(\gamma_1^{U(AM)}), \dots, \text{hol}_M(\gamma_n^{U(AM)})\right|\right\}$$

We now define a scaled version $d_{\mathcal{K}_\epsilon}$ of the metric $D_{\mathcal{K}_\epsilon}$. By Lemma 9.12 of [EW11], there is a neighborhood V of Id in $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ on which $d_{SL_2\mathbb{R}}$ and d_{op} are Lipschitz equivalent. Hence there exists $\eta > 0$ such that $d_{op}(A, Id) < \eta \cdot d_{SL_2\mathbb{R}}$ for $A \in V$. Now let

$$\rho = \sup_j \sup_{N \in U_j} \{|\text{hol}_N(\gamma_1^{U_j}), \dots, \text{hol}_N(\gamma_n^{U_j})|\}.$$

By compactness of $\mathcal{K}_\epsilon$ and continuity of hol , ρ is finite. So define the metric $d_{\mathcal{K}_\epsilon}$ on $\mathcal{K}_\epsilon$ by

$$d_{\mathcal{K}_\epsilon} = \frac{\eta}{\rho} D_{\mathcal{K}_\epsilon}.$$

Then from equation (2), for any $M \in \mathcal{K}_\epsilon$ and any $A \in V$ such that AM is in the $D_{\mathcal{K}_\epsilon}$ c -ball centered at M ,

$$d_{\mathcal{K}_\epsilon}(A, AM) \leq \eta \cdot d_{op}(A, Id) \leq d_{SL_2\mathbb{R}}(A, Id).$$

For each $M \in \mathcal{K}_\epsilon$ there exists a real number $r(M) > 0$ such $d_{SL_2\mathbb{R}}(A, Id) < r(M)$ implies AM is in the $D_{\mathcal{K}_\epsilon}$ c -ball centered at M . Now, by compactness, we may fix $r_0 > 0$ such that $r_0 < r(M)$ for all $M \in \mathcal{K}_\epsilon$ and the r_0 -ball about Id with respect to $d_{SL_2\mathbb{R}}$ is contained in V . □

Definition 5. A subset B of $\mathcal{K}_\epsilon$ is δ -dense in $\mathcal{K}_\epsilon$ if for every $M \in \mathcal{K}_\epsilon$ there exists $M' \in B \cap \mathcal{K}_\epsilon$ such that M' is in the same patch as M and in the local metric from period coordinates their distance is less than δ .

3.2. Step 2.

Definition 6. We say a surface M is (L, ϵ) -nice for h_s if

$$\bigcup_{s=0}^L h_s \cdot M$$

is ϵ -dense in

$$\overline{SL_2(\mathbb{R}) \cdot M} \cap K_\epsilon.$$

We define (L, ϵ) -nice for $\hat{h}_s$ similarly.

We will denote by $U_{L, \epsilon}$ the set of surfaces in $\mathcal{K}_\epsilon$ that are (L, ϵ) -nice for h_s .

Proposition 2. Let μ be an $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ -invariant, ergodic, Borel, probability measure on a stratum $\mathcal{H}$. Then for any sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\{M \in \mathcal{H} : (L, \epsilon)\text{-nice for } h_s\}) = 1.$$

Proposition 2 is a well-known result whose proof is included for the convenience of the reader.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{M}$ denote the support of μ and fix $\epsilon > 0$. We will show that for μ -almost every $M \in \mathcal{M}$, $H \cdot M$ is ϵ -dense in $\mathcal{M} \cap K_\epsilon$.

The Mautner phenomenon implies that since μ is ergodic with respect to the action of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$, it is also ergodic with respect to the horocycle flow. Hence, any $W \subset \mathcal{H}$ with positive μ -measure, has that $H \cdot W$ has full measure. Cover $K_\epsilon \cap \mathcal{M}$ by a collection of balls of radius $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$. Since $\mathcal{M}$ is the support of μ , each of these $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$ -balls has positive μ measure.

By the compactness of K_ϵ , we may take a finite, nonempty, subcover of $K_\epsilon \cap \mathcal{M}$ consisting of a finite subset of these $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$ -balls $B_1, \dots, B_m$. Let

$$G = \bigcap_{j=1}^m \bigcup_{s \in \mathbb{R}^+} h_{-s} \cdot B_j.$$

As a finite intersection of sets of full μ -measure, G has full μ -measure. So for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists L so that

$$\mu\left(\bigcap_{j=1}^m \bigcup_{s \in [0, L]} h_{-s} \cdot B_j\right) > 1 - \epsilon$$

and by construction the horocycle orbit of each point in G passes within distance $< \epsilon$ of every point in K_ϵ . $\square$

Corollary 1. *Let μ be an $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ -invariant, ergodic, Borel, probability measure on a stratum $\mathcal{H}_1$, and let $\epsilon > 0$. Denote by $\mathcal{M}$ the support of μ . Then there exists a nonempty open set $U \subset \mathcal{H}_1$ and a real number $L > 0$ such that $M \in U$ implies*

$$\bigcup_{s \in [0, L]} h_s \cdot M$$

is ϵ -dense in $\mathcal{M} \cap K_\epsilon$. Moreover, the set U may be chosen so that $\mu(U) > 1 - \epsilon$.

Proof sketch. Apply Proposition 2 to obtain a real number $L > 0$ so that the set

$$V := \left\{ M \in \mathcal{M} : M \text{ is } (L, \frac{\epsilon}{2})\text{-nice for } h_s \right\}$$

has μ measure at least $1 - \epsilon$. By the equicontinuity of the h_s for all $0 \leq s \leq M$, some open set $U \supset V$ has the property that for every $M \in U$,

$$\bigcup_{s \in [0, L]} h_s \cdot M$$

is ϵ -dense in $\mathcal{M} \cap K_\epsilon$. $\square$

3.3. Step 3.

Proposition 3. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be an affine invariant submanifold of $\mathcal{H}$ with associated $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ -invariant ergodic Borel probability measure μ and let U be an open set in $\mathcal{H}$ such that $\mu(U) \geq 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. Then for every M so that $\overline{SL_2(\mathbb{R})M} = \mathcal{M}$ there exist arbitrarily large $t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$\lambda(\{\theta : g_t r_\theta \cdot M \in U\}) > (1 - \epsilon),$$

where λ is Lebesgue measure (with total mass 1) on S^1 .

Proof. We will obtain this as a consequence of [EMM, Theorem 2.6] (Theorem 5 of this paper). Indeed, choose $\phi \in C_c(\mathcal{M})$ so that ϕ is non-negative, supported on U , $\|\phi\|_\infty = 1$ and $\int_{\mathcal{M}} \phi d\mu > 1 - \frac{3}{4}\epsilon$. By Theorem 2.6 there exist arbitrarily large T so that

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \int_0^{2\pi} \phi(g_t r_\theta M) d\theta dt > \left(1 - \frac{7}{8}\epsilon\right).$$

Since

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \phi(g_t r_\theta M) d\theta \leq 1$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, it follows that

$$\left| \left\{ t \leq T : \int_0^{2\pi} \phi(g_t r_\theta M) d\theta dt > 1 - \epsilon \right\} \right| \geq \frac{1}{8}\epsilon T.$$

For any t in this set, by our choice of ϕ we have that

$$\lambda(\{\theta : g_t r_\theta M \in U\}) > 1 - \epsilon,$$

and the proposition follows. $\square$

3.4. Step 4. The next lemma shows that under g_t arcs of “circles” (in θ) track horocycles. This is used multiple times in our argument to show that arcs of “circles” pushed by g_t are often dense or even approximately uniformly distributed. This is well known.

Lemma 5. *For any $\epsilon > 0$ there exist real numbers $t_0 > 0$ and ψ_0 such that if $g_t r_\psi M \in \mathcal{K}_{2\epsilon}$, then*

$$d_{\mathcal{K}_\epsilon}(g_t r_\psi M, h_{-e^{2t} \tan(\psi)} g_t M) < \epsilon$$

for all $t > t_0$ and $|\psi| < \psi_0$.

Proof. Recall $g_t r_\theta = \hat{h}_{e^{-2t} \tan(\theta)} g_{\log(\cos(\theta))} h_{-e^{2t} \tan(\theta)} g_t$. By Lemma 4, if θ is small enough then and t is large enough then for any $M \in \mathcal{K}_{2\epsilon}$ we have $g_t r_\psi M \in \mathcal{K}_\epsilon$ and

$$d_{\mathcal{K}_\epsilon}(\hat{h}_{e^{-2t} \tan(\theta)} g_{\log(\cos(\theta))} M, M) < \epsilon.$$

$\square$

Proposition 4. *For all sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$, $y \geq 2\pi\epsilon$, $L \in \mathbb{R}^+$ there exists $b_{\epsilon, L, \mathcal{M}}$ so that if $t > b_{\epsilon, L, \mathcal{M}}$ and*

$$(3) \quad \lambda(\{\theta : g_t r_\theta M \in U_{L, \epsilon}\}) > 1 - \epsilon,$$

then

$$(4) \quad \bigcup_{\theta \in (a-y, a+y)} g_t r_\theta M$$

is 2ϵ -dense in $\mathcal{K}_\epsilon$ for all $a \in S^1$.

Proof. Because

$$\lambda\left(\left(a - \frac{y}{2}, a + \frac{y}{2}\right)\right) \geq \epsilon$$

there exists $\phi \in (a - \frac{y}{2}, a + \frac{y}{2})$ so that $g_t r_\phi M \in U_{L, \epsilon}$. By the definition of $U_{L, \epsilon}$ we have that

$$\bigcup_{s \in [0, L]} h_s g_t r_\phi M$$

is ϵ -dense in $\mathcal{K}_\epsilon$. By Lemma 4 and Lemma 5, if t is large enough depending on L and $s \in [0, L]$ there exists $\theta_{s,t} \in (-3e^{-2t}, 3e^{-2t})$ so that

$$d_{\mathcal{K}_\epsilon}(h_s g_t r_\phi M, g_t r_{\theta_{s,t} + \phi} M) < \epsilon.$$

For sufficiently large values of t , $3e^{-2t} < \frac{y}{2}$ and $\phi + \theta_{s,t} \in (a - y, a + y)$. The proposition follows. $\square$

Proposition 5. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be an affine invariant submanifold in $\mathcal{H}$. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$, $M \in \mathcal{M}$, and $a \in S^1$, there exists arbitrarily large $t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that the set*

$$\bigcup_{\theta \in (a - 2\pi\epsilon, a + 2\pi\epsilon)} g_t r_\theta \cdot M$$

is ϵ -dense in $\mathcal{M} \cap K_\epsilon$.

Proof. By Proposition 2, for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $L > 0$ so that

$$\mu(U_{L, \frac{\epsilon}{2}}) > 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

By Proposition 3, there exist arbitrarily large real numbers t for which the assumptions of Proposition 4 are satisfied. Proposition 5 follows. $\square$

3.5. Step 5.

Lemma 6. *For any $\epsilon > 0$, $Y \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$, $\mathcal{M}$ there exists $\delta_{Y,\epsilon} := \delta > 0$ so that if S is a δ -dense subset of $\mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{K}_\delta$ then $Y \cdot S$ is ϵ -dense in $\mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{K}_\epsilon$.*

Proof. Let $\{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ be a set of points in $\mathcal{K}_\epsilon$ so that if $\{q_1, \dots, q_n\}$ are a set of points with $d_{\mathcal{K}_\epsilon}(p_i, q_i) < \frac{\epsilon}{4}$ then $\{q_1, \dots, q_n\}$ is $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$ dense in $\mathcal{K}_\epsilon$. Consider $Y^{-1}B(p_i, \frac{\epsilon}{4})$. There exists $c > 0$ so that for each i there is a ball of radius c contained in $Y^{-1}B(p_i, \frac{\epsilon}{4})$, moreover all of these balls are contained in $\mathcal{K}_{c'}$ for some $c' > 0$. Let $\delta = \min\{c, c'\}$. $\square$

Proposition 6. *For every flat surface M , $\epsilon > 0$, and $r > 0$, there exist arbitrarily large $s \in \mathbb{R}$ so that for all a*

$$\bigcup_{\theta \in (a - r, a + r)} h_s r_\theta M$$

is ϵ -dense in $\overline{SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \cdot M} \cap \mathcal{K}_\epsilon$.

Proof. For each $A \in SO_2$, denote by δ_A the constant given by Lemma 6 for ϵ . Let

$$\delta = \sup_{A \in SO_2} \delta_A,$$

which is finite since S^1 is compact. Let $t_0 > 0$ be a constant as given by Proposition 5 for $\epsilon = \delta$. Choose $s \in \mathbb{R}$ so that in the Cartan decomposition decomposition of h_s we have that $h_s = r_{\theta_1} g_{t_0} r_{\theta_2}$. Now

(5)

$$\bigcup_{\theta \in [0, 2\pi)} h_s r_\theta M = \bigcup_{\theta \in [0, 2\pi)} r_{\theta_1} g_{t_0} r_{\theta_2} r_\theta M = \bigcup_{\theta \in [0, 2\pi)} r_{\theta_1} g_{t_0} r_\theta M = r_{\theta_1} \left(\bigcup_{\theta \in [0, 2\pi)} g_{t_0} r_\theta M \right).$$

The set $\bigcup_{\theta \in [0, 2\pi)} g_{t_0} r_\theta M$ is δ -dense in $\mathcal{M} \cap K_\delta$ by construction. By our choice of δ together with equation 5, we have that $\bigcup_{\theta \in [0, 2\pi)} h_s r_\theta M$ is ϵ -dense. $\square$

3.6. Step 6A: a residual set of directions. Recall that a subset A of a topological space X is said to be *meager* if it can be expressed as the union of countably many nowhere dense subsets of X , and a *residual set* is the complement of a meager set.

Proposition 7. *Let M be a flat surface and $\mathcal{M} = \overline{SL_2(\mathbb{R}) \cdot M}$. For every $\epsilon > 0$, the set*

$$G_M(\epsilon) := \{\theta \in S^1 : r_\theta \cdot M \text{ is } (\infty, \epsilon)\text{-nice for } h_s\}$$

contains an open dense subset of S^1 .

Proof. It suffices to show that for every $\phi \in S^1$, $r > 0$, $(\phi - r, \phi + r)$ we have that $G_M(\epsilon) \cap (\phi - r, \phi + r)$ contains a non-empty open set.

Choose L so that $\{M : M \text{ is } (L, \epsilon)\text{-nice for } h_s\}$ contains a non-empty open set U (by Corollary 1). Since U is open, there exists $\delta > 0$ so that if S is any δ -dense subset of K_ϵ then S intersects U . Without loss of generality, assume $\delta \leq \epsilon$. Applying Proposition 6 with $\epsilon = \delta$ and $r = r$, we obtain $s \in \mathbb{R}$ so that

$$A := \bigcup_{\theta \in (\phi - r, \phi + r)} h_s r_\theta M$$

is δ -dense in $\overline{SL_2(\mathbb{R}) \cdot M} \cap K_\delta$, and hence also δ -dense in $\overline{SL_2(\mathbb{R}) \cdot M} \cap K_\epsilon$. Thus, A has nonempty intersection with the open set U . However, since $h_s r_\theta \cdot M$ is continuous in θ , the interval $(\phi - r, \phi + r)$ in fact contains an open set of angles θ such that $h_s r_\theta \cdot M \in U$. All of these angles θ are in $G_M(\epsilon)$. $\square$

Theorem 8. *For any flat surface M , there exists a residual set $A \subset S^1$ such that*

$$\overline{Hr_\theta \cdot M} = \overline{SL_2(\mathbb{R}) \cdot M}$$

for all $\theta \in A$.

Proof. This follows from Proposition 7 and the Baire category theorem. $\square$

3.7. Hitting many sets – Proposition 8.

Proposition 8. *For any $\epsilon > 0$, translation surface M so that $\overline{SL_2(\mathbb{R}) \cdot M} = \mathcal{M}$ with associated affine invariant probability measure μ , and finite collection of open sets $W_1, \dots, W_n$, there exists arbitrarily large $t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for each i ,*

$$\lambda(\{\theta : g_t r_\theta M \in W_i\}) > \mu(W_i) - \epsilon.$$

Definition 7. For any real numbers $L, \epsilon > 0$, affine invariant probability measure μ on the stratum $\mathcal{H}$, and finite collection of open sets $W_1, \dots, W_n$ in $\mathcal{H}$, define

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{U}_{L, \epsilon}^\mu(W_1, \dots, W_n) &:= \\ \{M' \in \mathcal{H} \mid \lambda(\{s \in [0, L) : h_s M' \in W_i\}) > L \cdot (\mu(W_i) - \epsilon) \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, n\}. \end{aligned}$$

When the sets $W_1, \dots, W_n$ and the measure μ are clear from the context, we will write simply $\tilde{U}_{L, \epsilon}$.

Lemma 7. *Fix $\epsilon > 0$, affine invariant probability measure μ on $\mathcal{H}$ and finitely many open sets $W_1, \dots, W_n$ in $\mathcal{H}$. Then*

$$\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\tilde{U}_{L, \epsilon}) = 1.$$

Moreover, for each $L > 0$, $\tilde{U}_{L, \epsilon}$ is open.

Proof. By the ergodicity of H with respect to μ (via the Mautner phenomenon) we have that

$$\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\tilde{U}_{L,\epsilon}) = 1.$$

Because H acts continuously and all the W_i are open we have that $\tilde{U}_{L,\epsilon}$ is also open. Indeed, for each $M' \in \tilde{U}_{L,\epsilon}$ and i there exists $V_\delta \subset W_i$ so that

$$\lambda(\{s \in [0, L) \mid h_s M' \in V_\delta\}) > L \cdot (\mu(W_i) - \epsilon).$$

By the continuity of h_s the lemma follows. $\square$

We need the following easy and well known result in measure theory.

Lemma 8. *Let U be an open set with finite measure for a Borel measure ν . Then for any $\delta > 0$ there exists V_δ an open subset of U , and a number $c > 0$ so that $\nu(V_\delta) > \nu(U) - \delta$ and $B(x, c) \subset U$ for all $x \in V_\delta$.*

Proof. Let S_ϵ be $\{x \in U : B(x, \epsilon) \subset U\}$. Since U is open $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S_{\frac{1}{n}} = U$. So $\nu(U) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu(S_{\frac{1}{n}})$. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 8. For each i , apply Lemma 8 to choose an open subset $V_i \subset W_i$ so that the δ_i -neighborhood of V_i is contained in W_i and so that $\mu(W_i \setminus V_i) < \epsilon$ for all i . Then, by Lemma 7, choose a real number $L > 0$ so that

$$\mu(\tilde{U}_{L,\epsilon}(V_1, \dots, V_n)) > 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

By Proposition 3 there exists arbitrarily large T so that

$$\lambda(\{\theta : g_T r_\theta M \in \tilde{U}_{L,\epsilon}(V_1, \dots, V_n)\}) > 1 - \epsilon.$$

By Lemma 5 choosing ϕ close enough to 0 and t large enough we have

$$d(g_t r_{\theta+\phi} M, h_{-e^{2t} \tan(\phi)} g_t r_\theta M) < \min \delta_i$$

Proposition 8 follows. $\square$

3.8. Almost every horocycle is dense. Before using Proposition 8 to prove Theorem 1 we require some set up and a lemma to use the Cartan decomposition to relate g_t and h_s .

Definition 8. Let V , open, be chosen so that $V \subset U_{L,\epsilon}$, $\mu(V) > \mu(U_{L,\epsilon}) - \epsilon_3$ and there exists $c > 0$ so that a c neighborhood of V is contained in $U_{L,\epsilon}$. Let $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n$ be a c -dense subset of S^1 . We say t is a **spreading time** (for M) if for each $i = 1, \dots, n$ we have

$$\lambda(\{\theta : g_t r_\theta M \in r_{-\psi_i} V\}) > \mu(V) - \epsilon_3.$$

The next lemma uses the notation above.

Lemma 9. *If t is a spreading time and the Cartan decomposition of $h_s = r_{\phi_1} g_t r_{\phi_2}$ for any ϕ_1, ϕ_2 then*

$$\lambda(\{\theta : h_s r_\theta M \in U_{L,\epsilon}\}) > \mu(V) - \epsilon_3 > \mu(U_{L,\epsilon}) - 2\epsilon_3.$$

Proof.

$$\{\theta : h_s r_\theta M \in U_{L,\epsilon}\} = \{\theta : r_{\phi_1} g_t r_{\phi_2} r_\theta M \in U_{L,\epsilon}\} = \{\theta - \phi_2 : r_{\psi_i + \rho} g_t r_\theta M \in U_{L,\epsilon}\}$$

where $|\rho| < c$. Let

$$G = \{\theta : g_t r_\theta M \in r_{-\psi_i} V\}.$$

If $\theta \in G$, then

$$r_{\psi_i + \rho} g_t r_\theta M \subset U_{L, \epsilon}.$$

Since t is spreading time,

$$\lambda(G) > \mu(U_{L, \epsilon}) - 2\epsilon_3.$$

Now

$$\{\theta - \phi_2 : \theta \in G\} \subset \{\theta : h_s r_\theta M \in U_{L, \epsilon}\}.$$

The lemma follows. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1. First it suffices to show that for all $\epsilon > 0$ we have that

$$\lambda(\{\theta : Hr_\theta M \text{ is } \epsilon \text{ dense in } \mathcal{K}_\epsilon\}) > 1 - \epsilon.$$

By Proposition 8 there exists a spreading time t . Thus, by Lemmas 9 and 1, we have that there exists s so that

$$\lambda(\{\theta : h_s r_\theta \in U_{L, \epsilon}\}) > 1 - \epsilon.$$

Choosing $R = L + s$, we have that

$$\lambda(\{\theta : \bigcup_{0 \leq \ell < R} h_\ell r_\theta M \text{ is } \epsilon \text{ dense in } \mathcal{K}_\epsilon\}) > 1 - \epsilon.$$

Theorem 1 follows. $\square$

4. NEW CHARACTERIZATIONS OF LATTICE SURFACES

The goal of this section is to prove Theorem 2. For the convenience of the reader, we reproduce here the five conditions that Theorem 2 asserts are equivalent:

- (I) M is a lattice surface.
- (II) For every angle $\theta \in S^1$, every H -minimal subset of $\overline{Hr_\theta \cdot M}$ is a periodic H -orbit.
- (III) There exists a positive Lebesgue measure set of angles $\Theta \subset S^1$ such that $\theta \in \Theta$ implies every H -minimal subset of $\overline{Hr_\theta \cdot M}$ is a periodic H -orbit.
- (IV) Every H -minimal subset of $\overline{GL_2(\mathbb{R}) \cdot M}$ (or of $\overline{SL_2(\mathbb{R}) \cdot M}$) is a periodic H -orbit.

Proof of Theorem 2. Lemmas 10-16 establish the following circle of implications:

$$I \rightarrow II \rightarrow III \rightarrow IV \rightarrow I$$

$\square$

Lemma 10. *(I) implies (II) implies (III)*

Proof. To show (I) implies (II), fix a lattice surface M and angle θ . Let L be any surface in any H -minimal subset A of $\overline{Hr_{-\theta} \cdot M}$. Since a lattice surface has, by definition, a closed $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ orbit, L is the image of M under some element of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$, and hence L is also a lattice surface. By Theorem 6, L is periodic in the horizontal direction and, by Theorem 3, L is uniformly completely parabolic, so the moduli of all the horizontal cylinders of L are commensurable. Hence, by Proposition 1, A is the periodic H -orbit of L .

That (II) implies (III) is trivial. $\square$

We use Theorem 1 to prove (III) implies (IV):

Lemma 11. *(III) implies (IV)*

Proof. Assume M is a flat surface for which (III) holds. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an H -minimal subset of $\overline{GL_2(\mathbb{R}) \cdot M}$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ has the form $\mathcal{A} = \overline{H \cdot N}$ for some flat surface $N \in \overline{GL_2(\mathbb{R}) \cdot M}$. We may assume without loss of generality that N is in $\overline{SL_2(\mathbb{R}) \cdot M}$ (all the surfaces in $\mathcal{A}$ necessarily have the same area, so we may rescale them to have the same area as that of M , and this subset of rescaled surfaces will still be an H -minimal set). By Theorem 1, there exists an angle θ such that $\overline{Hr_{-\theta} \cdot M} = \overline{SL_2(\mathbb{R}) \cdot M}$. Thus,

$$\mathcal{A} \subset \overline{SL_2(\mathbb{R}) \cdot N} \subset \overline{SL_2(\mathbb{R}) \cdot M} = \overline{Hr_{-\theta} \cdot M}.$$

Then (III) implies that $\mathcal{A}$ is a periodic H -orbit. $\square$

Lemma 12. *Condition (IV) implies that for any surface $N \in \overline{GL_2(\mathbb{R}) \cdot M}$,*

- (1) *N is parabolic in every periodic direction, and*
- (2) *N is completely periodic.*

Proof. To see that N is parabolic in every periodic direction, suppose N is periodic in direction θ but the moduli of the cylinders (in direction θ) are not rationally related. Then $Hr_{-\theta} \cdot N$ is a H -minimal set that is not periodic, contradicting (IV).

Now, suppose there is a direction θ in which N has at least one cylinder and at least one minimal component. Without loss of generality, we will assume θ is the positive horizontal direction. By Theorem 6, fix a surface $N' \in \overline{H \cdot N} \subset \overline{GL_2(\mathbb{R}) \cdot M}$ with a horizontal cylinder decomposition, and let $\mathcal{C}$ be the cylinders in N' which “came from” the horizontal cylinders of N . Clearly the horizontal cylinders of N' which are not in $\mathcal{C}$ are not in the same $\mathcal{M}$ -equivalence class as any cylinder of $\mathcal{C}$. Thus, by Lemma 3, $\eta_{\mathcal{C}} \in T_{N'}(\mathcal{M})$. Following the approach in [Wri], for some small $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a surface $N'' \in \mathcal{M}$ corresponding to $[\omega] + \epsilon i \eta_{\mathcal{C}}$, where $[\omega]$ is element of $H^1(S, \Sigma; \mathbb{C})$ corresponding to N' , that is horizontally periodic and such that the moduli of the cylinders of N'' that came from $\mathcal{C}$ are not rationally related to the moduli of the cylinders of N'' that did not come from $\mathcal{C}$. Hence N'' is horizontally periodic but not parabolic; by the conclusion of the previous paragraph, this is a contradiction. $\square$

Lemma 13. *Condition (IV) implies that for any surface $N \in \overline{GL_2(\mathbb{R}) \cdot M} = \mathcal{M}$ and for any direction θ that is periodic for N , N has a unique $\mathcal{M}$ -equivalence class of cylinders in direction θ .*

Proof. Suppose N has at least two $\mathcal{M}$ -equivalence classes of cylinders in a periodic direction θ . Without loss of generality, assume θ is the positive horizontal direction. By Lemma 3, we can stretch the cylinders in one of these equivalence classes vertically while keeping the rest of the surface unchanged to obtain a horizontally periodic surface $N' \in \mathcal{M}$ that has at least two horizontal cylinders whose moduli are not rationally related. By Lemma 12, this is a contradiction. $\square$

Lemma 14. *Condition (IV) implies that if S is any horizontally periodic (not necessarily regular) surface in $\mathcal{M}$, then $\text{Twist}(S, \mathcal{M}) = \text{Pres}(S, \mathcal{M})$*

Remark 1. The definitions (from [Wri]) of $\text{Twist}(S, \mathcal{M})$ and $\text{Pres}(S, \mathcal{M})$ use $T^S \mathcal{M}$, which exists only if S is a regular point (i.e. non-self-intersection point) of $\mathcal{M}$. However, it is easy to extend these notions to the case when S is a self-intersection point of $\mathcal{M}$; in this case we interpret $T^S(\mathcal{M})$ to be the “union” of the “tangent spaces” to $\mathcal{M}$ at S and define $\text{Twist}(S, \mathcal{M})$ and $\text{Pres}(S, \mathcal{M})$ accordingly. In [Wri],

Wright shows that for any regular point $S \in \mathcal{M}$ that is horizontally periodic, $\text{Twist}(S, \mathcal{M})$ is contained in $\text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}(\eta_{C_i^S})_{i=1}^n$, where $C_1^S, \dots, C_n^S$ are the horizontal cylinders of S , and if $\text{Pres}(S, \mathcal{M})$ properly contains $\text{Twist}(S, \mathcal{M})$, then there is a surface $S' \subset \mathcal{M}$ that has more horizontal cylinders than S does. Lemma 14 checks that this result still holds if S is not a regular point with our generalized notion of $\text{Twist}(S, \mathcal{M})$ and $\text{Pres}(S, \mathcal{M})$.

Proof. The definition of $\mathcal{M}$ -equivalence classes of cylinders in $\mathcal{S}$ is phrased in terms of all nearby surfaces to S in $\mathcal{M}$ and thus still makes sense for nonsingular points S of $\mathcal{M}$. Wright's lemma ([Wri]) asserting that the deformation corresponding to η_C (where C is a $\mathcal{M}$ -equivalence class of cylinders) remains in the tangent space at S still holds when we interpret tangent space to mean the union of the tangent spaces at S . Thus, Lemma 13 holds even when S is a self-intersection point of $\mathcal{M}$.

Now suppose condition (IV) holds for some horizontally periodic surface S but $\text{Twist}(S, \mathcal{M}) \neq \text{Pres}(S, \mathcal{M})$. Then there is some horizontal saddle connection whose length can be changed without altering the absolute cohomology of S . By slightly tipping this horizontal saddle connection so that it is not horizontal (which we may do because of the fact ([EMM]) that the tangent space is linear in *complex-valued* period coordinates), we obtain a nearby surface in the orbit closure that has more horizontal cylinders. This surface is in the orbit closure and clearly has more than one $\mathcal{M}$ -equivalence class (it has the cylinders from S and the new cylinder); by Lemma 13, this is a contradiction. $\square$

Lemma 15. *Condition (IV) implies that the self-intersection set of $\mathcal{M}$ is empty and*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(T^N(\mathcal{M})) = 2$$

for any point $N \in \mathcal{M}$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, assume N has a cylinder decomposition in the horizontal direction. Let $C_1, \dots, C_n$ be the horizontal cylinders of N . We will represent N as a collection of polygons whose edges are glued together. Each cylinder C_i can be represented by a parallelogram embedded in $\mathbb{R}^2$, whose “top” and “bottom” sides are horizontal, and whose “left” and “right” sides (which are parallel, but not necessarily vertical) are glued together via a translation of the form $(x, y) \mapsto (x + c_i, y)$, where c_i is the length of the core curve of cylinder C_i .

Let $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k$ be a list of the horizontal saddle connections in N that are in the horizontal sides of these parallelograms. We then pick n additional saddle connections $\gamma_{k+1}, \dots, \gamma_{k+n}$ that are the saddle connections representing the non-horizontal sides of the parallelograms. Then the relative homology classes $[\gamma_1], \dots, [\gamma_{k+n}]$ constitute a spanning set for $H_1(N, \Sigma; \mathbb{C})$, since the dual cohomology classes in $H^1(N, \Sigma; \mathbb{C})$ completely determines the geometry of N .

Since $C_1, \dots, C_n$ constitute the unique $\mathcal{M}$ -equivalence class of cylinders in the horizontal direction, there is a system of linear equations relating the cohomology classes of the core curves of $C_1, \dots, C_n$ that collectively implies that if we slightly deform N while remaining in the orbit closure $\mathcal{M}$, we must keep these core curves all parallel (i.e. we may rotate the complex valued assigned to the core curves by cohomology about the origin in $\mathbb{C}$ by a uniform rotation) but we may scale all their lengths by a real number. Therefore, since $\text{Twist}(N, \mathcal{M}) = \text{Pres}(N, \mathcal{M})$ by Lemma 14, any deformation which remains in the orbit closure will scale and rotate the complex numbers assigned by cohomology to $[\gamma_1], \dots, [\gamma_k]$ uniformly (i.e. uniformly

multiply them by a complex number). Thus, a single complex parameter governs the cohomology values of $[\gamma_1], \dots, [\gamma_k]$.

Now, for any given values for $[\gamma_1], \dots, [\gamma_k]$, suppose there are two or more (complex) degrees of freedom in assigning values to $[\gamma_{k+1}], \dots, [\gamma_{k+n}]$ (saddle connections comprising the non-vertical sides of the parallelograms). Then, we could remain in the orbit closure $\mathcal{M}$ by, in particular, multiplying the complex number representing one of these saddle connections by any real number α while multiplying the complex number representing a different one of these saddle connections by any other real number β . Since we are assuming $[\gamma_1], \dots, [\gamma_k]$ are constant, to each of the γ_{k+i} there is a cylinder whose modulus depends only on γ_{k+1} . In particular, we could pick α and β so that the moduli of the two corresponding cylinders are not commensurable. The H -orbit of the resulting surface would not be periodic, contradicting (IV). Hence, there is a single complex parameter that governs the values of $[\gamma_{k+1}], \dots, [\gamma_{k+n}]$.

We have shown that, if we are to remain in the orbit closure in a neighborhood of N , we have two complex degrees of freedom in prescribing the cohomology values of $[\gamma_1], \dots, [\gamma_{k+n}]$, which completely determine the geometry of the surface: we can multiply $[\gamma_1], \dots, [\gamma_k]$ uniformly by a complex number, and we can multiply $[\gamma_{k+1}], \dots, [\gamma_{k+n}]$ uniformly by a complex number. Consequently, N is a regular point, and $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(T^N(\mathcal{M})) = 2$. $\square$

Lemma 16. *(IV) implies (I).*

Proof. By Theorem 3, it suffices to show that (IV) implies $GL_2(\mathbb{R}) \cdot M$ is closed. Suppose there exists $X \in \mathcal{M} \setminus GL_2(\mathbb{R}) \cdot M$. Then X is a regular point of $\mathcal{M}$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} T^X \mathcal{M} > 2$. By Lemma 15, this is impossible. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [EMM] Alex Eskin, Maryam Mirzakhani, and Amir Mohammadi, *Isolation theorems for $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ -invariant submanifolds in moduli space*, preprint, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1305.3015>.
- [EW11] Manfred Einsiedler and Thomas Ward, *Ergodic theory with a view towards number theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 259, Springer-Verlag London, Ltd., London, 2011. MR 2723325 (2012d:37016)
- [HW15] W. Patrick Hooper and Barak Weiss, *The rel leaf and real-rel ray of the Arnoux-Yoccoz surface in genus 3*, preprint, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1506.06773> (2015).
- [KZ03] Maxim Kontsevich and Anton Zorich, *Connected components of the moduli spaces of Abelian differentials with prescribed singularities*, Invent. Math. **153** (2003), no. 3, 631–678. MR 2000471 (2005b:32030)
- [LNW] Erwan Lanneau, Duc-Manh Nguyen, and Alex Wright, *Finiteness of Teichmüller curves in non-arithmetic rank 1 orbit closures*, preprint, <http://arxiv.org/pdf/1504.03742.pdf>.
- [Mas82] Howard Masur, *Interval exchange transformations and measured foliations*, Ann. of Math. (2) **115** (1982), no. 1, 169–200. MR 644018 (83e:28012)
- [SW] John Smillie and Barak Weiss, *Examples of horocycle-invariant measures on the moduli space of translation surfaces*, in preparation.
- [SW04] John Smillie and Barak Weiss, *Minimal sets for flows on moduli space*, Israel J. Math. **142** (2004), 249–260. MR 2085718 (2005g:37067)
- [SW08] ———, *Veech’s dichotomy and the lattice property*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **28** (2008), no. 6, 1959–1972. MR 2465608 (2009m:37092)
- [SW10] ———, *Characterizations of lattice surfaces*, Invent. Math. **180** (2010), no. 3, 535–557. MR 2609249 (2012c:37072)

- [SW14] John Smillie and Barak Weiss, *Examples of horocycle invariant measures on the moduli space of translation surfaces, I and II*, Tech. Report 15, Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach, March 2014.
- [Vee86] William A. Veech, *The Teichmüller geodesic flow*, Ann. of Math. (2) **124** (1986), no. 3, 441–530. MR 866707 (88g:58153)
- [Wri] Alex Wright, *Cylinder deformations in orbit closures of translation surfaces*, preprint, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1302.4108>.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF UTAH, SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84112, U.S.A.
E-mail address: `chaika@math.utah.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO, CHICAGO, IL 60637, U.S.A.
E-mail address: `klindsey@math.uchicago.edu`